

CROSS-SECTIONS, QUOTIENTS, AND REPRESENTATION RINGS OF SEMISIMPLE ALGEBRAIC GROUPS

VLADIMIR L. POPOV*

“Is Steinberg’s theorem [...] only true for simply connected groups [...]? What happens for $GP(1)$, for instance? Is there a rational section of G over $I(G)$ (“invariants”) in this case? [...] Is it true that $I(G)$ is a rational variety [...]?”

A. Grothendieck, *Letter to J.-P. Serre*,
January 15, 1969, [GS, pp. 240–241].

ABSTRACT. Let G be a connected semisimple algebraic group over an algebraically closed field k . In 1965 STEINBERG proved that if G is simply connected, then in G there exists a closed irreducible cross-section of the set of closures of regular conjugacy classes. We prove that in arbitrary G such a cross-section exists if and only if the universal covering isogeny $\tau: \widehat{G} \rightarrow G$ is bijective; this answers GROTHENDIECK’s question cited in the epigraph. In particular, for $\text{char } k = 0$, the converse to STEINBERG’s theorem holds. The existence of a cross-section in G implies, at least for $\text{char } k = 0$, that the algebra $k[G]^G$ of class functions on G is generated by $\text{rk } G$ elements. We describe, for arbitrary G , a minimal generating set of $k[G]^G$ and that of the representation ring of G and answer two GROTHENDIECK’s questions on constructing generating sets of $k[G]^G$. We prove the existence of a rational (i.e., local) section of the quotient morphism for arbitrary G and the existence of a rational cross-section in G (for $\text{char } k = 0$, this has been proved earlier); this answers the other GROTHENDIECK’s question cited in the epigraph. We also prove that the existence of a rational section is equivalent to the existence of a rational W -equivariant map $T \dashrightarrow G/T$ where T is a maximal torus of G and W the Weyl group.

1. INTRODUCTION

Below all algebraic varieties are taken over an algebraically closed field k . We use the standard notation and conventions of [Bor] and [Sp].

Let G be a connected semisimple algebraic group, $G \neq \{e\}$. Let $(G//G, \pi_G)$ be a categorical quotient for the conjugating action of G on itself, i.e., $G//G$ is an affine variety and

$$\pi_G: G \longrightarrow G//G$$

a surjective morphism such that $\pi_G^*(k[G//G])$ is the algebra $k[G]^G$ of class functions on G . Every fiber of π_G is then the closure of a regular conjugacy class (i.e., that of the maximal dimension) and such classes in general position are closed [Ste₁, Theorem 6.11, Cor. 6.13, and Sect. 2.14].

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14M99, 14L30, 14R20, 14L24, 17B45.

Key words and phrases. Semisimple algebraic group, conjugating action, cross-section, quotient, representation ring.

* Supported by grants PΦΦИ 08–01–00095, ИИИ–1987.2008.1, and the program *Contemporary Problems of Theoretical Mathematics* of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Branch of Mathematics.

Definition 1.1. A closed irreducible subvariety S of G is called a *cross-section* (of the collection of fibers of π_G) in G if S intersects at a single point every fiber of π_G .

The elements of S are the “canonical forms” of the elements of a dense constructible subset of G with respect to conjugation. The image of any *section* of π_G (i.e., a morphism $\sigma: G//G \rightarrow G$ such that $\pi_G \circ \sigma = \text{id}_{G//G}$) is an example of such S ; moreover, this S has the property that $\pi_G|_S: S \rightarrow G//G$ is an isomorphism. For $\text{char } k = 0$, every cross-section in G is obtained in this manner (see Remark 1 in Section 6).

In 1965 STEINBERG gave an explicit construction of a section of π_G for every simply connected semisimple group G (see his celebrated paper [Ste₁]). Its image is a cross-section that intersects every regular conjugacy class and does not intersect other conjugacy classes.

In this paper we explore what happens in the general case, i.e., when G is not necessarily simply connected. In this case the following two facts about cross-sections in G for $\text{char } k = 0$ are known.

First, by [CTKPR, Theorem 0.3] in every connected semisimple algebraic group G there is a *rational section* of π_G , i.e., a section over a dense open subset of $G//G$ (local section).

Second, by KOSTANT’s theorem [K, Theorem 0.10] there is an infinitesimal counterpart of STEINBERG’s cross-section: for the adjoint action of G on its Lie algebra $\text{Lie } G$, there is a closed irreducible subvariety in $\text{Lie } G$ that intersects every regular G -orbit at a single point.

In order to formulate our result consider the universal covering of G , i.e., an isogeny

$$\tau: \widehat{G} \longrightarrow G$$

such that $\widehat{G}$ is a simply connected semisimple algebraic group and the composition of τ with every projective rational representation of G lifts to a linear one of $\widehat{G}$.

We prove the following

Theorem 1.2. *Let G be a connected semisimple algebraic group.*

- (i) *The following properties are equivalent:*
 - (a) *there is a cross-section in G ;*
 - (b) *the isogeny τ is bijective.*
- (ii) *If $\sigma: G//G \rightarrow G$ is a section of π_G , then the cross-section $\sigma(G//G)$ in G intersects every regular conjugacy class and does not intersect other conjugacy classes.*

Remark 1.3. The isogeny τ is bijective if and only if it is either an isomorphism or purely inseparable (radical). The latter holds if and only if $\text{char } k = p > 0$ and p divides the order of the fundamental group of G .

The next corollary answers the first GROTHENDIECK’s question cited in the epigraph and a question posed in [CTKPR, p. 4].

Corollary 1.4. *Let G be a connected semisimple algebraic group.*

- (i) *If a section of π_G exists, then τ is bijective.*
- (ii) *For $\text{char } k = 0$, the following properties are equivalent:*
 - (a) *there is a section of π_G ;*
 - (b) *there is a cross-section in G ;*
 - (c) *G is simply connected.*

Theorem 1.2 is proved in Section 2.

One can show (see below Lemma 3.1) that if a cross-section in G exists, then, at least for $\text{char } k = 0$, the variety $G//G$ is smooth (the converse is not true). The known

criterion of smoothness of $G//G$ (Theorem 3.2) may be interpreted as that of the existence of $\text{rk } G$ generators of $k[G]^G$. In Section 3 we consider the general case and describe a minimal generating set of $k[G]^G$ and singularities of $G//G$ for any G . This is based on the property that actually $G//G$ is a toric variety of a maximal torus T of G . In particular, it also implies the affirmative answer to the last GROTHENDIECK's question cited in the epigraph:

Corollary 3.7. *$G//G$ and T/W are the rational varieties.*

Here W is the Weyl group of G , i.e., the quotient of T in its normalizer $N_G(T)$, acting on T via conjugation.

Parallel to this we describe a minimal generating set of the representation ring $R(G)$ of G . Note that finding generators of $R(G)$ attracted people's attention during long time, in particular, because of the bearing on the K -theory (cf., e.g., [Hus, Chap. 13] where the generators of $R(G)$ are found for some classical G 's utilizing the ad hoc bulky arguments; see also [A]). Singularities of $G//G$ attracted people's attention as well (see [Sl, Sects. 3.15, 4.5]).

The precise formulations of these results are given below in Theorems 3.5 and 3.9 and Lemma 3.10.

Constructing generating sets of $k[G]^G$ is the topic of two further questions of GROTHENDIECK asked in [GS, p. 241]. In Section 4 we answer the first question in the negative and the second in the positive.

In Section 5 we consider rational (i.e., local) sections of π_G and *rational cross-sections* in G , i.e., irreducible closed subsets S of G that intersect at a single point every fiber of π_G over a point of a dense open subset of $G//G$ (depending on S). The closure of the image of a rational section of π_G is an example of such S ; moreover, this S has the property that $\pi_G|_S: S \rightarrow G//G$ is a birational isomorphism. For $\text{char } k = 0$, every rational cross-section in G is obtained in this way.

First, we show that the existence of a rational section of π_G is equivalent to another property. Namely, note that W also acts on G/T as follows:

$$w \cdot gT := g\dot{w}^{-1}T, \quad (1)$$

where $\dot{w} \in N_G(T)$ is a representative of w . We prove

Theorem 1.5. *Let G be a connected semisimple algebraic group. The following properties are equivalent:*

- (i) *there is a rational section of π_G ;*
- (ii) *there is a W -equivariant rational map $T \dashrightarrow G/T$.*

Then we consider the existence problem and prove the following.

First, the next theorem answers the third GROTHENDIECK's question cited in the epigraph.

Theorem 1.6. *For every connected semisimple algebraic group G , there is a rational section of π_G .*

For $\text{char } k = 0$, this theorem has been proved earlier in [CTKPR, Theorem 0.3]. In our proof we use the relevant characteristic free results from [CTKPR], but bypass Theorem 2.12 from this paper (whose proof is based on the assumption $\text{char } k = 0$) by exploring properties of π_G and proving that versality of G holds in arbitrary characteristic (Lemma 5.8); this permits us to use STEINBERG's section of $\pi_{\hat{G}}$ in place of KOSTANT's cross-section in $\text{Lie } G$ used in [CTKPR].

Corollary 1.7. *In every connected semisimple algebraic group G there is a rational cross-section S such that $\pi_G|_S: S \rightarrow G//G$ is a birational isomorphism.*

Second, Theorems 1.5 and 1.6 yield the following

Theorem 1.8. *For every connected semisimple algebraic group G , there is a W -equivariant rational map $T \dashrightarrow G/T$.*

Section 6 contains some remarks, questions, and an example of a cross-section S in G such that $\pi_G|_S$ is not separable (hence S is not the image of a section of π_G).

Acknowledgements. I am grateful to VIK. KULIKOV for the inspiring remark, to A. PARSHIN for the useful discussion and to J.-L. COLLIOT-THÉLÈNE, G. PRASAD, and Z. REICHSTEIN for the valuable comments.

2. CROSS-SECTIONS IN G

Fix a choice of Borel subgroup $\hat{B}$ of $\hat{G}$ and maximal torus $\hat{T} \subset \hat{B}$. Denote by $X(\hat{T})$ the character lattice of $\hat{T}$ in additive notation. For $\lambda \in X(\hat{T})$, denote by t^λ the value of $\lambda: \hat{T} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_m$ at $t \in \hat{T}$. Let $\varpi_1, \dots, \varpi_r \in X(\hat{T})$ be the system of fundamental weights of $\hat{T}$ with respect to $\hat{B}$.

Let $\varrho_i: \hat{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}(V_i)$ be an irreducible representation of $\hat{G}$ with ϖ_i as the highest weight. Let $\chi_{\varpi_i} \in k[\hat{G}]^{\hat{G}}$ be the character of ϱ_i .

Let $\hat{C}$ be the center of $\hat{G}$; it is a finite subgroup of $\hat{T}$. The conjugating action of $\hat{G}$ on itself commutes with the action of $\hat{C}$ on $\hat{G}$ by left translations. Therefore the latter action descends to $\hat{G} // \hat{G}$ and

$$\pi_{\hat{G}}: \hat{G} \longrightarrow \hat{G} // \hat{G}$$

becomes a $\hat{C}$ -equivariant morphism.

Endow the r -dimensional affine space $\mathbf{A}^r$ with the linear action of $\hat{T}$ by the formula

$$t \cdot (a_1, \dots, a_r) := (t^{\varpi_1} a_1, \dots, t^{\varpi_r} a_r), \quad t \in \hat{T}, \quad (a_1, \dots, a_r) \in \mathbf{A}^r. \quad (2)$$

Lemma 2.1.

- (i) *The $\hat{T}$ -stabilizer of the point $(1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbf{A}^r$ is trivial. In particular, the considered action of $\hat{T}$ on $\mathbf{A}^r$ is faithful.*
- (ii) *There is a $\hat{C}$ -equivariant isomorphism*

$$\lambda: \hat{G} // \hat{G} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{A}^r.$$

Proof. Since $\varpi_1, \dots, \varpi_r$ generate $X(\hat{T})$, we have

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^r \{t \in \hat{T} \mid t^{\varpi_i} = 1\} = \{e\}. \quad (3)$$

But (2) entails that the $\hat{T}$ -stabilizer of the point $(1, \dots, 1)$ coincides with the right-hand side of equality (3). This proves (i).

By [Ste₁, Theorems 6.1, 6.16] the k -algebra $k[\hat{G}]^{\hat{G}}$ is freely generated by $\chi_{\varpi_1}, \dots, \chi_{\varpi_r}$ and the morphism

$$\theta: \hat{G} \longrightarrow \mathbf{A}^r, \quad \theta(g) = (\chi_{\varpi_1}(g), \dots, \chi_{\varpi_r}(g)),$$

is surjective. Hence there is an isomorphism $\lambda: \hat{G} // \hat{G} \longrightarrow \mathbf{A}^r$ such that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \hat{G} & \\ \pi_{\hat{G}} \swarrow & & \searrow \theta \\ \hat{G} // \hat{G} & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & \mathbf{A}^r \end{array} \quad (4)$$

The morphism θ is $\hat{C}$ -equivariant. Indeed, let $c \in \hat{C}$. Since ϱ_i is irreducible, SCHUR's lemma entails that $\varrho_i(c) = \mu_{i,c} \text{id}_{V_i}$ for some $\mu_{i,c} \in k$. On the other hand,

since $c \in \widehat{T}$, any highest vector in V_i with respect to $\widehat{B}$ is an eigenvector of c with the eigenvalue c^{ϖ_i} . Hence $\mu_{i,c} = c^{\varpi_i}$. Therefore, for every $g \in \widehat{G}$, by (2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(cg) &= (\chi_{\varpi_1}(cg), \dots, \chi_{\varpi_r}(cg)) \\ &= (\text{trace}(\varrho_1(cg)), \dots, \text{trace}(\varrho_r(cg))) \\ &= (\text{trace}(\varrho_1(c)\varrho_1(g)), \dots, \text{trace}(\varrho_r(c)\varrho_r(g))) \\ &= (\text{trace}(c^{\varpi_1}\varrho_1(g)), \dots, \text{trace}(c^{\varpi_r}\varrho_r(g))) \\ &= (c^{\varpi_1}\text{trace}(\varrho_1(g)), \dots, c^{\varpi_r}\text{trace}(\varrho_r(g))) \\ &= (c^{\varpi_1}\chi_{\varpi_1}(g), \dots, c^{\varpi_r}\chi_{\varpi_r}(g)) \\ &= c \cdot \theta(g), \end{aligned}$$

as claimed.

Since both θ and $\pi_{\widehat{G}}$ are $\widehat{C}$ -equivariant and $\pi_{\widehat{G}}$ is surjective, commutativity of diagram (4) entails that λ is $\widehat{C}$ -equivariant as well. This proves (ii). $\square$

Corollary 2.2. *Let g be a nonidentity element of $\widehat{C}$. Then there is no g -stable cross-section in $\widehat{G}$.*

Proof. Assume the contrary and let $\widehat{S}$ be a g -stable cross-section in $\widehat{G}$. Since $\pi_{\widehat{G}}$ is $\widehat{C}$ -equivariant, $\pi_{\widehat{G}}|_{\widehat{S}}: \widehat{S} \rightarrow \widehat{G}/\widehat{G}$ is a bijective g -equivariant morphism. As, by Lemma 2.1(ii), there is a point of $\widehat{G}/\widehat{G}$ fixed by $\widehat{C}$, hence by g , this implies that there is a point of $\widehat{S}$ fixed by g . But for the action of $\widehat{C}$ on $\widehat{G}$ by left translations, the stabilizer of every point is trivial, a contradiction with $g \neq e$. $\square$

Given an element h of an algebraic group H , we shall denote its conjugacy class in H by $H(h)$:

$$H(h) := \{shs^{-1} \mid s \in H\}. \quad (5)$$

Lemma 2.3. *Let H and $\widetilde{H}$ be connected algebraic groups and let $\sigma: \widetilde{H} \rightarrow H$ be an isogeny. Then the following properties hold:*

- (i) σ is a finite morphism;
- (ii) $\sigma(\widetilde{H}(h)) = H(\sigma(h))$ and $\dim \widetilde{H}(h) = \dim H(\sigma(h))$ for every $h \in \widetilde{H}$;
- (iii) if $\widetilde{H}(h)$ is a regular conjugacy class in $\widetilde{H}$ (i.e., that of the maximal dimension), then $\sigma(\widetilde{H}(h))$ is a regular conjugacy class in H ;
- (iv) if H and $\widetilde{H}$ are semisimple, then for every $h \in \widetilde{H}$,

$$\sigma(\pi_{\widetilde{H}}^{-1}(\pi_{\widetilde{H}}(h))) = \pi_H^{-1}(\pi_H(\sigma(h))).$$

Proof. The varieties H and $\widetilde{H}$ are normal (even smooth) and the fiber of σ over every point of H is a finite set whose cardinality does not depend on this point. Hence (cf. [G₁, Sect. 2, Cor. 3]) $\widetilde{H}$ is the normalization of H in the field of rational functions on $\widetilde{H}$ and σ is the normalization map. This proves (i).

The first equality in (ii) holds as σ is an epimorphism of groups. The second follows from the first and theorem on dimension of fibers [Bor, AG 10.1]. This proves (ii).

As σ is surjective, (iii) follows from (ii).

Since the fibers of $\pi_{\widetilde{H}}$ and π_H are the closures of regular conjugacy classes and, by (i), the map σ is closed, (iv) follows from (iii). $\square$

Corollary 2.4. *Let $\widetilde{G}$ be a connected semisimple algebraic group and let $\sigma: \widetilde{G} \rightarrow G$ be a bijective isogeny.*

- (i) *If $\widetilde{S}$ is a cross-section in $\widetilde{G}$, then $\sigma(\widetilde{S})$ is a cross-section in G .*

(ii) If S is a cross-section in G , then $\sigma^{-1}(S)$ is a cross-section in $\tilde{G}$.

The same holds if “cross-section” is replaced with “rational cross-section”.

Proof. By Lemma 2.3(i) the bijective map σ is closed. Hence it is a homeomorphism. Both claims follow from this, the definitions of cross-section and rational cross-section, and Lemma 2.3(iv). $\square$

Lemma 2.5. Assume that there is a subgroup Z of $\hat{C}$ such that $G = \hat{G}/Z$ and τ is the quotient morphism $\hat{G} \rightarrow \hat{G}/Z$. Then there is a morphism

$$\varphi: \hat{G} // \hat{G} \longrightarrow G // G \quad (6)$$

such that

- (i) $(G // G, \varphi)$ is a categorical quotient for the action of Z on $\hat{G} // \hat{G}$;
- (ii) the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \hat{G} & \xrightarrow{\tau} & G \\ \pi_{\hat{G}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_G \\ \hat{G} // \hat{G} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & G // G \end{array} ; \quad (7)$$

- (iii) for every point $x \in \hat{G} // \hat{G}$, the following equality holds:

$$\tau(\pi_{\hat{G}}^{-1}(x)) = \pi_G^{-1}(\varphi(x)). \quad (8)$$

Proof. As τ^* , $\pi_{\hat{G}}^*$, and π_G^* are injections, there is a unique morphism (6) such that $\tau^* \circ \pi_G^* = \pi_{\hat{G}}^* \circ \varphi^*$, i.e., diagram (7) is commutative.

Consider the action of $\hat{G}$ on G via the isogeny τ and the conjugating action of G on itself. The isogeny τ is then $\hat{G}$ -equivariant and $\hat{G}$ -orbits in G are G -conjugacy classes, so we have $k[G]^G = k[G]^{\hat{G}}$. Since the conjugating action of $\hat{G}$ on itself commutes with the action of Z by left translations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{\hat{G}}^*(\varphi^*(k[G // G])) &= \tau^*(\pi_G^*(k[G // G])) = \tau^*(k[G]^G) = \tau^*(k[G]^{\hat{G}}) = (\tau^*(k[G]))^{\hat{G}} \\ &= (k[\hat{G}]^Z)^{\hat{G}} = (k[\hat{G}]^{\hat{G}})^Z = (\pi_{\hat{G}}^*(k[\hat{G} // \hat{G}]))^Z = \pi_{\hat{G}}^*(k[\hat{G} // \hat{G}]^Z). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\varphi^*(k[G // G]) = k[\hat{G} // \hat{G}]^Z$. This proves (i) and (ii). Lemma 2.3(iv) and commutativity of diagram (7) imply (iii). $\square$

Below, given a variety Z , we denote by $T_{z,Z}$ the tangent space of Z at a point z .

Proof of Theorem 1.2. First, we shall prove criterion (i).

1. By STEINBERG’s theorem, $\hat{G}$ has a cross-section. Hence, by Corollary 2.4, if τ is bijective, then there exists a cross-section in G as well.

So we may assume that τ is not bijective and we then have to prove that there is no cross-section in G . Solving this problem, we may assume that τ is separable. Indeed, if this is not the case, then by [Bor, Prop. 17.9] there exist a connected semisimple algebraic group $\tilde{G}$ and a commutative diagram of isogenies

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \hat{G} & \xrightarrow{\tau} & G \\ \mu \searrow & & \nearrow \sigma \\ & \tilde{G} & \end{array} , \quad (9)$$

where μ is separable and σ is purely inseparable. As σ is bijective, Corollary 2.4 then reduces the problem to proving that there is no cross-section in $\tilde{G}$, i.e., we may replace G by $\tilde{G}$ and τ by μ .

So from now on we may (and shall) assume that τ is a separable isogeny of degree ≥ 2 . This means that there is a nontrivial subgroup Z of $\widehat{C}$ such that $G = \widehat{G}/Z$ and τ is the quotient morphism $\widehat{G} \rightarrow \widehat{G}/Z$.

2. Now, arguing on the contrary, assume that there is a cross-section S in G .

Claim 1. (i) *For every point $x \in \widehat{G} // \widehat{G}$, the intersection*

$$\pi_{\widehat{G}}^{-1}(x) \cap \tau^{-1}(S) \quad (10)$$

is a nonempty subset of a single Z -orbit; in particular, it is finite.

(ii) *There is a nonempty open subset U of $\widehat{G} // \widehat{G}$ such that, for every $x \in U$, intersection (10) is a single point.*

Proof of Claim 1. Consider diagram (7). Since $S \cap \pi_{\widehat{G}}^{-1}(\varphi(x))$ is a single point g , we deduce from (8) that intersection (10) is contained in $\tau^{-1}(g)$. This proves (i) as the fibers of τ are Z -orbits.

By Lemma 2.1(i) there is a nonempty open subset U in $\widehat{G} // \widehat{G}$ such that the $\widehat{C}$ -stabilizer of every point of U is trivial. Take a point $x \in U$. Assume that intersection (10) contains two points g_1 and $g_2 \neq g_1$. By (i) there exists an element $z \in Z$ such that $g_2 = zg_1$. As $\pi_{\widehat{G}}$ is $\widehat{C}$ -equivariant, $x = \pi_{\widehat{G}}(g_2) = \pi_{\widehat{G}}(zg_1) = z \cdot \pi_{\widehat{G}}(g_1) = z \cdot x$. Thus, z belongs to the $\widehat{C}$ -stabilizer of x . The definition of U then implies that $z = e$. Hence $g_1 = g_2$, a contradiction. This proves (ii). $\square$

3. Since all the fibers of τ are finite, every irreducible component of $\tau^{-1}(S)$ has dimension $\leq \dim S = r$ and at least one of them has dimension r .

Claim 2. (i) *There is a unique r -dimensional irreducible component $\widehat{S}$ of $\tau^{-1}(S)$.*

(ii) $\tau(\widehat{S}) = S$.

Proof of Claim 2. Let $\widehat{S}$ be an r -dimensional irreducible component of $\tau^{-1}(S)$. Then $\tau(\widehat{S})$ contains an open subset of S . Since τ is closed, this proves (ii).

From (ii) we conclude that

$$\pi_G(\tau(\widehat{S})) = G // G. \quad (11)$$

But by Lemma 2.5 the fibers of φ in commutative diagram (7) are finite. This and (11) imply that $\pi_{\widehat{G}}(\widehat{S})$ contains a nonempty open subset of $\widehat{G} // \widehat{G}$.

Let now $\widehat{S}'$ be another r -dimensional irreducible components of $\tau^{-1}(S)$. Then, as above, $\pi_{\widehat{G}}(\widehat{S}')$ contains a nonempty open subset of $\widehat{G} // \widehat{G}$ as well. Therefore, $\pi_{\widehat{G}}(\widehat{S}) \cap \pi_{\widehat{G}}(\widehat{S}')$ contains a nonempty open subset V of $\widehat{G} // \widehat{G}$. We may assume that $V \subseteq U$ for U from Claim 1(ii). The latter then yields that $\pi_{\widehat{G}}^{-1}(V) \cap \widehat{S} = \pi_{\widehat{G}}^{-1}(V) \cap \widehat{S}'$. As both sides of this equality are the open subsets of respectively $\widehat{S}$ and $\widehat{S}'$, we infer that $\widehat{S} = \widehat{S}'$. This proves (i). $\square$

4. As $\widehat{S}$ is a unique r -dimensional irreducible component of the Z -stable variety $\tau^{-1}(S)$, we conclude that $\widehat{S}$ is Z -stable. We shall now show that $\widehat{S}$ is a cross-section in $\widehat{G}$. As this property contradicts Corollary 2.2, the proof of (i) will be then completed.

5. Let x be a point of $\widehat{G} // \widehat{G}$. As S is a section of G , the intersection $S \cap \pi_G^{-1}(\varphi(x))$ is a single point $g \in G$. By Claim 2(ii) there is a point $\widehat{g} \in \widehat{S}$ such that $\tau(\widehat{g}) = g$. Commutativity of diagram (7) then entails that x and $\widehat{x} := \pi_{\widehat{G}}(\widehat{g})$ are in the same fiber of φ . Since the fibers of φ are Z -orbits, there is an element $z \in Z$ such that $x = z \cdot \widehat{x}$. As $\pi_{\widehat{G}}$ is Z -equivariant, this yields $\pi_{\widehat{G}}(z\widehat{g}) = x$. But $z\widehat{g} \in \widehat{S}$ as $\widehat{S}$ is Z -stable

and $\widehat{g} \in \widehat{S}$. Hence $\pi_{\widehat{G}}^{-1}(x) \cap \widehat{S} \neq \emptyset$, i.e.,

$$\pi_{\widehat{G}}(\widehat{S}) = \widehat{G} // \widehat{G}. \quad (12)$$

6. It follows from Claim 1(i),(ii) and (12) that $\pi_{\widehat{G}}|_{\widehat{S}}$ is the surjective morphism with finite fibers, bijective over an open subset of $\widehat{G} // \widehat{G}$. As $\widehat{G}$ is normal, $\widehat{G} // \widehat{G}$ is normal as well. Let $\nu: \widetilde{S} \rightarrow \widehat{S}$ be the normalization. Then the surjective morphism $\pi_{\widehat{G}}|_{\widehat{S}} \circ \nu: \widetilde{S} \rightarrow \widehat{G} // \widehat{G}$ of normal varieties has finite fibers and is bijective over an open subset of $\widehat{G} // \widehat{G}$. Hence $\pi_{\widehat{G}}|_{\widehat{S}} \circ \nu$ is bijective (see [G₁, Sect. 2, Cor. 2]). Whence $\pi_{\widehat{G}}|_{\widehat{S}}$ is bijective as well, i.e., $\widehat{S}$ is a cross-section in $\widehat{G}$. This completes the proof of (i).

We now turn to the proof of (ii).

Let $S := \sigma(G // G)$. Take a point $x \in S$ and put $y := \pi_G(x)$. As $\pi_G|_S: S \rightarrow G // G$ is the isomorphism (σ is its inverse), $d(\pi_G|_S)_x$ is the isomorphism as well. Hence $(d\pi_G)_x$ is surjective. As $\dim T_{y, G // G} \geq \dim G // G = r$, this implies that there are functions $f_1, \dots, f_r \in k[G]^G$ such that $(df_1)_x, \dots, (df_r)_x$ are linearly independent. By [Ste₁, Theorem 8.7] this yields that x is regular. As S intersects every fiber of π_G at a single point and every such fiber contains a unique regular orbit, this proves (ii). Thus, the proof of Theorem 1.2 comes to a close. $\square$

3. SINGULARITIES OF $G // G$ AND GENERATORS OF $k[G]^G$ AND $R(G)$

The following lemma shows that there is a link between the existence of a cross-section in G and smoothness of $G // G$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\text{char } k = 0$. If a surjective morphism $\alpha: X \rightarrow Y$ of irreducible varieties admits a section $\sigma: Y \rightarrow X$, then smoothness of X implies smoothness of Y .*

Proof. Arguing on the contrary, assume that y is a singular point of Y , i.e.,

$$\dim T_{y,Y} > \dim Y. \quad (13)$$

Put $x = \sigma(y) \in X$. Since $\alpha \circ \sigma = \text{id}_Y$, the composition $d\alpha_x \circ d\sigma_y$ is the identity map of $T_{y,Y}$. Hence $d\alpha_x$ is surjective, i.e., $\text{rk } d\alpha_x = \dim T_{y,Y}$. By (13) this yields

$$\text{rk } d\alpha_x > \dim Y. \quad (14)$$

As $\text{char } k = 0$, there is a dense open subset U of X such that $\text{rk } d\alpha_z = \dim Y$ for every point $z \in U$, see [H, 14.4]. As $z \mapsto \dim \ker d\alpha_z$ is the upper semi-continuous function [H, 14.6], we conclude that smoothness of X implies that $\text{rk } d\alpha_z \leq \dim Y$ for every point $z \in X$. This contradicts (14). $\square$

This prompts to explore smoothness of $G // G$. The answer is known:

Theorem 3.2 ([Ste₃, §3], [R₁, Prop. 4.1], [R₂, Prop. 13.3]). *Let $\text{char } k \neq 2$. The following properties are equivalent:*

- (i) $G // G$ is smooth;
- (ii) $G // G$ is isomorphic to the affine space $\mathbf{A}^r$;
- (iii) $G = G_1 \times \dots \times G_s$ where every G_i is either a simply connected simple algebraic group or isomorphic to $\mathbf{SO}_{n_i}$ for an odd n_i .

This criterion of smoothness of $G // G$ may be also interpreted as that of the existence of r generators of the algebra of class functions on G . Below we describe a minimal system of generators of this algebra and singularities of $G // G$ in the general case. This also yields a minimal system of generators of the representation ring of G .

Let $B := \tau(\widehat{B})$ and $T := \tau(\widehat{T})$. This is respectively a Borel subgroup and a maximal torus of G . We consider the lattice $X(T)$ of characters of T as the sublattice of $X(\widehat{T})$

identifying $\mu \in X(T)$ with $\tau^*(\mu) \in X(\widehat{T})$. Then $X(\widehat{T})$ is the weight lattice of $X(T)$. The monoid of highest weights of simple $\widehat{G}$ -modules (with respect to $\widehat{B}$ and $\widehat{T}$) is

$$\widehat{\mathcal{D}} := \mathbf{N}\varpi_1 + \cdots + \mathbf{N}\varpi_r, \quad \mathbf{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}. \quad (15)$$

and that of simple G -modules (with respect to B and T) is

$$\mathcal{D} := \widehat{\mathcal{D}} \cap X(T). \quad (16)$$

Let W be the Weyl group of $\widehat{G}$, i.e., the quotient of $\widehat{T}$ in its normalizer, acting on $\widehat{T}$ via conjugation. The Weyl group of T is identified naturally with W (see [Bor, Prop. 11.20 and Cor. 2(d) in 13.17]).

If $\varpi \in \mathcal{D}$ and $E(\varpi)$ is a simple G -module with ϖ as the highest weight, we denote by $\chi_\varpi \in k[G]^G$ the character of $E(\varpi)$.

Given a nonzero commutative ring A with identity element and a commutative monoid M , we denote by $A[M]$ the semigroup ring of M over A . We identify $A[M]$ with $A \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Z}[M]$ in the natural way. If S is a submonoid of the multiplicative monoid of $A[M]$ whose elements are linearly independent over A , then the subring of $A[M]$ generated by S is identified naturally with $A[S]$. In particular, we consider $A[X(T)]$ and $A[\mathcal{D}]$ as the subrings of $A[X(\widehat{T})]$. The former is stable with respect to the natural action of W on $\mathbf{Z}[X(\widehat{T})]$. Using the notation and terminology of BOURBAKI [Bou₂, VI.3], we denote by e^μ the element of $\mathbf{Z}[X(\widehat{T})]$ corresponding to $\mu \in X(\widehat{T})$ and put

$$S(e^\mu) := \sum_{\nu \in W \cdot \mu} e^\nu \in \mathbf{Z}[X(\widehat{T})]^W. \quad (17)$$

Given an algebraic group H , we denote by $R(H)$ the *representation ring* of H : its additive group is the Grothendieck group of the category of finite dimensional algebraic H -modules with respect to exact sequences and the multiplication is induced by tensor product of modules. Using τ , we identify $R(G)$ in the natural way with the subring of $R(\widehat{G})$.

If E is a finite dimensional algebraic G -module and E_μ is its weight space of a weight $\mu \in X(T)$, then the formal character of E ,

$$\text{ch}_G[E] := \sum_{\mu \in X(T)} (\dim E_\mu) e^\mu, \quad (18)$$

is an element of $\mathbf{Z}[X(T)]^W$ depending only on the class $[E]$ of E in $R(G)$. Clearly,

$$\text{ch}_G[E \otimes E'] = \text{ch}_G[E] \text{ch}_G[E']. \quad (19)$$

According to [Se, 3.6], the homomorphism of $\mathbf{Z}$ -modules

$$\text{ch}_G: R(G) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}[X(T)]^W, \quad [E] \mapsto \text{ch}_G[E], \quad (20)$$

is an isomorphism. By (19) it is an isomorphism of rings.

Definition 3.3. Let $\varpi \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$. We say that an element $x \in \mathbf{Z}[X(\widehat{T})]^W$ is ϖ -sharp if the following property (M) holds:

(M) e^ϖ is a unique maximal term of x .

Example 3.4. The elements $S(e^\varpi)$ and $\text{ch}_{\widehat{G}}[E(\varpi)]$ are ϖ -sharp (this follows, e.g., from [Bou₂, VI.1.6, Prop. 18] and [Hum₁, 31.3, Theorem]). $\square$

Property (M) implies that the support of a ϖ -sharp element x lies in $\varpi + X(T)$. This and [Bou₂, VI.3.4, formula (6)] yield

$$x = S(e^\varpi) + \text{sum of some } S(e^{\varpi'})\text{'s with } \varpi' \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}, \varpi' < \varpi. \quad (21)$$

By [Bou₂, VI.3.2, Lemma 2] if an element x' is a ϖ' -sharp, then xx' is $(\varpi + \varpi')$ -sharp.

Now fix a ϖ_i -sharp element $x_{\varpi_i} \in \mathbf{Z}[\mathbf{X}(\widehat{T})]^W$, $i = 1, \dots, r$, and put

$$x_{\varpi} := x_{\varpi_1}^{m_1} \cdots x_{\varpi_r}^{m_r} \quad \text{for} \quad \varpi = m_1\varpi_1 + \cdots + m_r\varpi_r \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}.$$

By [Bou₂, VI.3.4, Theorem 1] the set $\{x_{\varpi} \mid \varpi \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}\}$ is then a basis of the $\mathbf{Z}$ -module $\mathbf{Z}[\mathbf{X}(\widehat{T})]^W$. As $\{e^{\mu} \mid \mu \in \mathbf{X}(T)\}$ is a basis of the $\mathbf{Z}$ -module $\mathbf{Z}[\mathbf{X}(T)]$ and the support of x_{ϖ} lies in $\varpi + \mathbf{X}(T)$, we deduce from this and (16) that $\{x_{\varpi} \mid \varpi \in \mathcal{D}\}$ is a basis of the $\mathbf{Z}$ -module $\mathbf{Z}[\mathbf{X}(T)]^W$. Hence the homomorphism of the $\mathbf{Z}$ -modules

$$\vartheta: \mathbf{Z}[\mathbf{X}(T)]^W \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}[\mathcal{D}], \quad \vartheta(x_{\varpi}) = e^{\varpi} \quad \text{for} \quad \varpi \in \mathcal{D}, \quad (22)$$

is an isomorphism. Since $x_{\varpi+\varpi'} = x_{\varpi}x_{\varpi'}$, it is, in fact, an isomorphism of rings.

As by DEDEKIND's theorem $\{f_{\mu}: T \rightarrow k, t \mapsto t^{\mu} \mid \mu \in \mathbf{X}(\widehat{T})\}$ is a basis of the vector space $k[\widehat{T}]$ over k , the k -linear map $k[\widehat{T}] \rightarrow k[\mathbf{X}(\widehat{T})]$, $f_{\mu} \mapsto e^{\mu}$, is the isomorphism of k -algebras. We identify them by means of this isomorphism. So we have $k[T] = k[\mathbf{X}(T)]$ and

$$k[T/W] = k[T]^W = k[\mathbf{X}(T)]^W. \quad (23)$$

Finally, take into account that by [Ste₁, 6.4] the restriction map

$$\text{res}: k[G//G] = k[G]^G \longrightarrow k[T]^W, \quad \text{res}(f) = f|_T, \quad (24)$$

is an isomorphism of k -algebras. Summing up, we obtain

Theorem 3.5.

- (i) $G//G$ and T/W are the affine toric varieties of T whose algebras of regular functions are isomorphic to $k[\mathcal{D}]$.
- (ii) In the diagram

$$k[G//G] \xrightarrow{\text{res}} k[T/W] \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \vartheta} k[\mathcal{D}]$$

(see (24), (23), (22)) both maps are the isomorphisms of k -algebras.

- (iii) Let F be the simple subring of k . Then the image of $F \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} R(G)$ in $k[G]^G$ under the composition of the ring isomorphisms

$$k \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} R(G) \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \text{ch}_G} k[\mathbf{X}(T)]^W = k[T]^W \xrightarrow{\text{res}^{-1}} k[G]^G \quad (25)$$

is an F -form of $k[G//G]$ isomorphic to $F \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} R(G)$. In particular, if $\text{char } k = 0$, it is a $\mathbf{Z}$ -form of $k[G//G]$ isomorphic to $R(G)$.

Remark 3.6. The fact that “multiplicative invariants” of finite reflection groups are semigroup algebras is already in the literature, first implicitly, then explicitly, see the historical account in [L₁, Introduction]. Essentially, the main ingredients date back to [Ste₁, §6] and [Bou₂, VI, §3].

Since toric varieties are rational, Theorem 3.5(i) yields

Corollary 3.7. $G//G$ and T/W are rational varieties.

In the next statement Theorem 3.5 is applied to finding a minimal system of generators of the algebra $k[G]^G$ and that of the ring $R(G)$.

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the Hilbert basis of the monoid $\mathcal{D}$, i.e., the set of all its indecomposable elements:

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{D}_+ \setminus 2\mathcal{D}_+ \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{D}_+ := \mathcal{D} \setminus \{0\}, \quad 2\mathcal{D}_+ := \mathcal{D}_+ + \mathcal{D}_+. \quad (26)$$

The set $\mathcal{H}$ is finite, generates $\mathcal{D}$, and every generating set of $\mathcal{D}$ contains $\mathcal{H}$ (see, e.g., [L₂, 3.4]).

Remark 3.8. There is an algorithm for computing $\mathcal{H}$, see [Stu, 13.2] (cf. also Example 3.11 below).

Theorem 3.9.

- (i) *The cardinality of every generating set of the algebra $k[G]^G$ of class functions on G is not less than the cardinality of $\mathcal{H}$. The same holds for every generating set of the representation ring $R(G)$ of G .*
- (ii) *$\{[E(\varpi)] \mid \varpi \in \mathcal{H}\}$ is a generating set of the ring $R(G)$.*
- (iii) *$\{\chi_\varpi \mid \varpi \in \mathcal{H}\}$ is a generating set of the algebra $k[G]^G$.*

Proof. (i) Let Y be the affine toric variety of T with $k[Y] = k[\mathcal{D}]$. The linear span I of $\{e^\varpi \mid \varpi \in \mathcal{D}_+\}$ over k is a maximal T -invariant ideal in $k[Y]$. Hence I/I^2 is the cotangent space of Y at the T -fixed point v where I vanishes. As I^2 is the linear span of $\{e^\varpi \mid \varpi \in 2\mathcal{D}_+\}$ over k , this and (26) yield

$$\dim T_{v,Y} = \dim I/I^2 = |\mathcal{H}|. \quad (27)$$

Now take into account that, given an affine algebraic variety X , the algebra $k[X]$ can be generated by d elements if and only if X admits a closed embedding in $\mathbf{A}^d$. Hence $d \geq \dim T_{x,X}$ for every point $x \in X$. This, Theorem 3.5(i),(iii), and (27) prove (i).

(ii) Let $\mu \in \mathcal{D}$. As $\mathcal{H}$ generates $\mathcal{D}$, we deduce from Example 3.4 that there is a μ -sharp monomial M^μ in the elements of the set $\{\text{ch}_G[E(\varpi)] \mid \varpi \in \mathcal{D}\}$. By (21) we have

$$M^\mu = S(e^\mu) + \text{sum of some } S(e^{\mu'})\text{'s with } \mu' \in \mathcal{D}, \mu' < \mu. \quad (28)$$

But $\{S(e^\mu) \mid \mu \in \mathcal{D}\}$ is a basis of the $\mathbf{Z}$ -module $\mathbf{Z}[X(T)]^W$ (see [Bou₂, VI.3.4, Lemma 3]). By [Bou₂, VI.3.4, Lemma 4] and (28) we then conclude that the set $\{M^\mu \mid \mu \in \mathcal{D}\}$ generates the $\mathbf{Z}$ -module $\mathbf{Z}[X(T)]^W$. This means that the ring $\mathbf{Z}[X(T)]^W$ is generated by the set $\{\text{ch}_G[E(\varpi)] \mid \varpi \in \mathcal{H}\}$. As (20) is an isomorphism of rings, this proves (ii).

(iii) It follows from (ii) that the set $\{1 \otimes [E(\varpi)] \mid \varpi \in \mathcal{H}\}$ generates the ring $k \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} R(G)$. But formula (18) shows that χ_ϖ is the image of $1 \otimes E[(\varpi)]$ under the composition of the ring isomorphisms in diagram (25). This proves (iii). $\square$

Since the Weyl chambers are simplicial cones, Theorem 3.5(i) implies, at least for $\text{char } k = 0$, that $G//G$ and T/W are isomorphic to the quotient of $\mathbf{A}^r$ by a linear action of a certain finite abelian group (see, e.g., [O, Prop. 1.25]). In particular, $G//G$ and T/W may have only finite quotient singularities. Below this finite group and its action on $\mathbf{A}^r$ are explicitly described assuming that τ is separable.

The latter assumption means that there is a subgroup Z of $\widehat{C}$ such that $G = \widehat{G}/Z$ and τ is the quotient morphism $\widehat{G} \rightarrow \widehat{G}/Z$. In this situation we have

$$X(T) = \{\mu \in X(\widehat{T}) \mid c^\mu = 1 \text{ for every } c \in Z\}. \quad (29)$$

Consider the $\widehat{T}$ -orbit map of the point $(1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbf{A}^r$:

$$\iota: \widehat{T} \longrightarrow \mathbf{A}^r, \quad \iota(t) = t \cdot (1, \dots, 1). \quad (30)$$

The map $\iota^*: k[\mathbf{A}^r] \rightarrow k[\widehat{T}] = k[X(\widehat{T})]$ is an embedding as ι is dominant by Lemma 2.1(i). Let $y_1, \dots, y_r$ be the standard coordinate functions on $\mathbf{A}^r$. Then (2) and (30) yield

$$\iota^*(y_i) = e^{\varpi_i}. \quad (31)$$

From (2) we deduce that $k[\mathbf{A}^r]^Z$ is the linear span over k of all monomials $y^{m_1} \dots y^{m_r}$ with $m_1, \dots, m_r \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $c^{m_1 \varpi_1 + \dots + m_r \varpi_r} = 1$ for every $c \in Z$. By (29) the latter condition is equivalent to the inclusion $m_1 \varpi_1 + \dots + m_r \varpi_r \in X(T)$. This, (31), (15), and (16) imply that $\iota^*(k[\mathbf{A}^r]^Z) = k[\mathcal{D}]$. Thus, taking into account Theorem 3.5, we obtain the isomorphisms of k -algebras

$$k[\mathbf{A}^r]^Z \xrightarrow{\iota^*} k[\mathcal{D}] \xrightarrow{(\text{id} \otimes \vartheta)^{-1}} k[T/W] \xrightarrow{(\text{res})^{-1}} k[G//G].$$

that, in turn, induce the isomorphisms of varieties $G//G \rightarrow T/W \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^r/Z$.

By means of a special parametrization of $\widehat{T}$ one obtains an explicit description of the elements of $\widehat{C}$ well adapted for computing $k[\mathbf{A}^r]^Z$. Since $\widehat{G} = \widehat{G}_1 \times \cdots \times \widehat{G}_s$ and $\widehat{C} = \widehat{C}_1 \times \cdots \times \widehat{C}_s$ where every $\widehat{G}_i$ is a nontrivial normal simply connected simple subgroup of $\widehat{G}$ and $\widehat{C}_i$ is the center of $\widehat{G}_i$, it suffices to describe this parametrization for simple groups $\widehat{G}$. The answer is given below in Lemma 3.10.

Namely, let $\widehat{\alpha}_1, \dots, \widehat{\alpha}_r \in X(\widehat{T})$ be the system of simple roots of $\widehat{T}$ with respect to $\widehat{B}$ and let $\widehat{\alpha}_i^\vee: \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow \widehat{T}$ be the coroot corresponding to $\widehat{\alpha}_i$. Then, for every $s \in \mathbf{G}_m$,

$$(\widehat{\alpha}_i^\vee(s))^{\varpi_j} = \begin{cases} s & \text{if } i = j, \\ 1 & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases} \quad (32)$$

If $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the natural pairing between the lattices of characters and cocharacters of $\widehat{T}$, we put $n_{ij} := \langle \widehat{\alpha}_i, \widehat{\alpha}_j^\vee \rangle$. So $(n_{ij})_{i,j=1}^r$ is the Cartan matrix of $\widehat{G}$.

By [Ste₂, Lemma 28(b),(d) and its Cor. (a)] the map

$$\nu: \mathbf{G}_m^r \longrightarrow \widehat{T}, \quad \nu(s_1, \dots, s_r) = \widehat{\alpha}_1^\vee(s_1) \cdots \widehat{\alpha}_r^\vee(s_r), \quad (33)$$

is an isomorphism of groups and

$$\widehat{C} = \{ \widehat{\alpha}_1^\vee(s_1) \cdots \widehat{\alpha}_r^\vee(s_r) \mid s_1^{n_{i1}} \cdots s_r^{n_{ir}} = 1 \text{ for every } i = 1, \dots, r \}. \quad (34)$$

By (32) and (33) we have

$$(\nu(s_1, \dots, s_r))^{\varpi_i} = (\widehat{\alpha}_1^\vee(s_1))^{\varpi_i} \cdots (\widehat{\alpha}_r^\vee(s_r))^{\varpi_i} = s_i.$$

This and (2) imply that, for every $s = (s_1, \dots, s_r) \in \mathbf{G}_m^r$ and $(a_1, \dots, a_r) \in \mathbf{A}^r$, we have

$$\nu(s) \cdot (a_1, \dots, a_r) = (s_1 a_1, \dots, s_r a_r).$$

Lemma 3.10. *For every simple simply connected group $\widehat{G}$, the subgroup $\nu^{-1}(\widehat{C})$ of the torus $\mathbf{G}_m^r$ is described in the following Table 1 (simple roots in (33) are numerated as in [Bou₂]):*

TABLE 1.

type of $\widehat{G}$	$\nu^{-1}(\widehat{C})$
A_r	$\{(s, s^2, s^3, \dots, s^r) \mid s^{r+1} = 1\}$
B_r	$\{(1, \dots, 1, s^2) \mid s^2 = 1\}$
C_r	$\{(s, 1, s, 1, \dots, s^{r \bmod 2}) \mid s^2 = 1\}$
$D_r, r \text{ odd}$	$\{(s^2, 1, s^2, 1, \dots, s^2, s, s^{-1}) \mid s^4 = 1\}$
$D_r, r \text{ even}$	$\{(s, 1, s, 1, \dots, s, 1, st, t) \mid s^2 = t^2 = 1\}$
E_6	$\{(s, 1, s^{-1}, 1, s, s^{-1}) \mid s^3 = 1\}$
E_7	$\{(1, s, 1, 1, s, 1, s) \mid s^2 = 1\}$
E_8	$\{(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)\}$
F_4	$\{(1, 1, 1, 1)\}$
G_2	$\{(1, 1)\}$

[illegible]

Let, for instance, $\widehat{G}$ be of type D_r for even r . Using the explicit form of the Cartan matrix [Bou₂, Planche IV], one immediately verified that every element of $C' := \{(s, 1, s, 1, \dots, s, 1, st, t) \mid s^2 = t^2 = 1\}$ is a solution of (35). Hence, $C' \subseteq \nu^{-1}(\widehat{C})$. On the other hand, the fundamental group of the root system of type D_r is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$ (see [Sp, 8.1.11] and [Bou₂, Planche IV]). Hence, the SMITH normal form of $(n_{ij})_{i,j=1}^r$ is $\text{diag}(1, \dots, 1, 2, 2)$. Therefore, there is a basis $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r$ of the coroot lattice of $\widehat{T}$ such that, for $(s_1, \dots, s_r) \in \mathbf{G}_m^r$, we have $\beta_1(s_1) \cdots \beta_r(s_r) \in \widehat{C}$ if and only if $(s_1, \dots, s_r)$ is a solution of the system

$$x_1 = 1, \dots, x_{r-2} = 1, x_{r-1}^2 = 1, x_r^2 = 1.$$

For the groups of the other types the proofs are similar. $\square$

Examples 3.11.

$$\mathcal{H} = \{\varpi_1, \dots, \varpi_{r-1}, 2\varpi_r\}.$$
$$\mathcal{H} = \{\varpi_1, \dots, \varpi_{r-2}, 2\varpi_{r-1}, 2\varpi_r, \varpi_{r-1} + \varpi_r\}.$$
$$\mathcal{H} = \{\varpi_i \mid i \text{ is even}\} \cup \{\varpi_l + \varpi_m \mid l, m \text{ are odd}\}.$$

(4) Let $\widehat{G}$ be of type E_7 and let $\text{char } k \neq 2$. Table 1 implies that $k[A^7]^{\widehat{G}}$ is minimally generated by y_1, y_3, y_4, y_6 and all monomials of degree 2 in y_2, y_5, y_7 . Therefore, if G is adjoint, then $G//G$ is isomorphic to $A^4 \times Y$ where Y is the affine cone over the Veronese variety $\nu_2(\mathbf{P}^2)$ in $\mathbf{P}^5$ (in particular, the tangent space of the 7-dimensional variety

$G//G$ at the unique fixed point of T , see Theorem 3.5(i) and (27), is 10-dimensional) and

$$\mathcal{H} = \{\varpi_1, \varpi_3, \varpi_4, \varpi_6, 2\varpi_2, 2\varpi_5, 2\varpi_7, \varpi_2 + \varpi_5, \varpi_2 + \varpi_7, \varpi_5 + \varpi_7\}. \quad \square$$

4. TWO FURTHER QUESTIONS OF GROTHENDIECK

Theorem 3.9 describes a minimal generating set of the algebra $k[G]^G$ of class functions on G . Constructing generating sets of $k[G]^G$ is the topic of two further questions of GROTHENDIECK in [GS, p. 241]:

“[...] When G is an adjoint group, is it possible to generate the affine ring of $I(G)$ with coefficients of the Killing polynomial? In the general case, is it enough to take the coefficients of analogous polynomials for certain linear representations (perhaps arbitrary faithful representations)? [...]”

Below we answer these questions.

Let $\varrho: G \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}(V)$ be a finite dimensional linear representation of G . Define the set

$$C_\varrho := \{c_{\varrho,i} \in k[G] \mid i = 1, \dots, \dim V\}$$

by the equality

$$\det(xI - \varrho(g)) = \sum_{i=0}^{\dim V} c_{\varrho,i}(g) x^{\dim V - i} \quad \text{for every } g \in G, \quad (36)$$

where x is a variable. If $V = E(\varpi)$ (here and below we use the notation of Section 3) and ϱ determines the G -module structure of $E(\varpi)$, we put $C_\varpi := C_\varrho$.

Clearly, $c_{\varrho,i} \in k[G]^G$ and $c_{\varrho,1}$ is the character of ϱ . Hence by Theorem 3.9(iii)

$$\bigcup_{\varpi \in \mathcal{H}} C_\varpi$$

is a generating set of the algebra $k[G]^G$. This answers the second GROTHENDIECK's question in the affirmative.

In order to answer the first one in the negative it is sufficient to find an adjoint G and two elements $z_1, z_2 \in T$ such that

- (i) z_1 and z_2 are not in the same W -orbit;
- (ii) the spectra of the linear operators $\text{Ad}_G z_1$ and $\text{Ad}_G z_2$ on the vector space $\text{Lie } G$ coincide.

Indeed, property (i) implies that there is a function $f \in k[T]^W$ such that $f(z_1) \neq f(z_2)$. Given isomorphism (24), this means that there is a function $\tilde{f} \in k[G]^G$ such that $\tilde{f}(z_1) \neq \tilde{f}(z_2)$. On the other hand, (36) and property (ii) imply that

$$c_{\text{Ad}_G, i}(z_1) = c_{\text{Ad}_G, i}(z_2) \quad \text{for every } i.$$

Therefore, $\tilde{f}$ is not in the subalgebra of $k[G]^G$ generated by C_{Ad_G} , i.e., the latter is not a generating set of $k[G]^G$.

The following two examples show that one indeed can find G , z_1 , and z_2 sharing properties (i) and (ii).

Examples 4.1.

(1) Let $G = H \times H$ where H is a connected adjoint semisimple algebraic group. Let $T = S \times S$ where S is a maximal torus of H . Let W_S be the Weyl group of H acting naturally on S . Take any two elements $a, b \in S$ that are not in the same W_S -orbit and put $z_1 := (a, b)$, $z_2 := (b, a) \in T$. As $W = W_S \times W_S$, property (i)

holds. On the other hand, clearly, for every $i = 1, 2$, the spectrum of $\text{Ad}_G z_i$ is the union of the spectra of $\text{Ad}_H a$ and $\text{Ad}_H b$; whence property (ii) holds.

(2) In this example G is simple, namely, $G = \mathbf{PGL}_3$. Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in X(T)$ be the simple roots of T with respect to B . As the map $T \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_m^2$, $t \mapsto (t^{\alpha_1}, t^{\alpha_2})$, is surjective (in fact, an isomorphism), for every $u, v \in k$, $uv \neq 0$, there are $z_1, z_2 \in T$ such that $z_1^{\alpha_1} = u$, $z_1^{\alpha_2} = v$ and $z_2^{\alpha_1} = v$, $z_2^{\alpha_2} = u$. For these z_1, z_2 , property (ii) holds as the set of roots of G with respect to T is $\{\pm\alpha_1, \pm\alpha_2, \pm(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)\}$. Now take u and v such that all elements $u, u^{-1}, v, v^{-1}, uv, u^{-1}v^{-1}$ are pairwise different. Then property (i) holds as there are no $w \in W$ such that $w(\alpha_1) = \alpha_2$ and $w(\alpha_2) = \alpha_1$.

5. RATIONAL CROSS-SECTIONS

Recall from [Ste₁, 2.14, 2.15] that an element $x \in G$ is called *strongly regular* if its centralizer G_x is a maximal torus. Such x is regular and semisimple. Strongly regular elements form a dense open subset G_0 of G stable with respect to the conjugating action of G . Every G -orbit in G_0 is regular and closed in G . We put

$$(G//G)_0 := \pi_G(G_0) \quad \text{and} \quad T_0 := T \cap G_0.$$

Abusing the notation, we denote $\pi_G|_{G_0}$ still by π_G :

$$\pi_G: G_0 \longrightarrow (G//G)_0. \quad (37)$$

Lemma 5.1.

- (i) $(G//G)_0$ is an open smooth subset of $G//G$.
- (ii) $\pi_G|_{T_0}: T_0 \rightarrow (G//G)_0$ is a surjective étale map.
- (iii) $((G//G)_0, \pi_G)$ is the geometric quotient for the action of G on G_0 .

Proof. Since $G//G$ is normal and all fibers of π_G are of constant dimension and irreducible, π_G is an open map (see [Bor, AG.18.4]). Hence $(G//G)_0$ is open in $G//G$.

As every element of G_0 is semisimple, it is conjugate to an element of T_0 ; whence $\pi_G|_{T_0}$ is surjective.

The set T_0 is open in T and W -stable. For every point $t \in T_0$, we have $G_t = T$, hence the W -stabilizer of t is trivial. Thus, the action of W on T_0 is set theoretically free. Since T is smooth, $G//G$ is normal, and $(G//G, \pi_G|_T)$ is the quotient for the action of W on T (see [Ste₁, 6.4]), we deduce from [G₃, Exp. I, Théorème 9.5(ii)] and [Bou₁, V.2.3, Cor. 4] that $\pi_G|_{T_0}$ is étale and hence $(G//G)_0$ is smooth. This proves (i) and (ii).

By (ii) the map $\pi_G: G_0 \rightarrow (G//G)_0$ is separable and surjective. As its fibers are G -orbits and $(G//G)_0$ is normal, (iii) follows from [Bor, 6.6]. $\square$

The group W acts on $G/T \times T_0$ diagonally with the action on the first factor defined by formula (1). The group G acts on $G/T \times T_0$ via left translations of the first factor. These two actions commute with each other.

Consider the G -equivariant morphism

$$\psi: G/T \times T_0 \longrightarrow G_0, \quad (gT, t) \mapsto gtg^{-1}. \quad (38)$$

The proofs of Lemma 5.2 and Corollary 5.4 reproduce that from my letter [P₂].

Lemma 5.2. ψ is a surjective étale map.

Proof. As every G -orbit in G_0 intersects T_0 , surjectivity of ψ follows from (38).

Take a point $z \in G/T \times T_0$. We shall prove that $d\psi_z$ is an isomorphism. As $G/T \times T_0$ and G_0 are smooth, this is equivalent to proving that ψ is étale at z . Using that ψ is G -equivariant, we may assume that $z = (eT, s)$, $s \in T_0$.

Let U_α be the one-dimensional unipotent root subgroup of G corresponding to a root α with respect to T and let $\theta_\alpha: \mathbf{G}_a \rightarrow U_\alpha$ be the isomorphism of groups such that

$$t\theta_\alpha(x)t^{-1} = \theta_\alpha(t^\alpha x) \quad \text{for all } t \in T, x \in \mathbf{G}_a,$$

see [Bor, IV.13.18]. Put

$$\begin{aligned} C_\alpha &:= \{(\theta_\alpha(x)T, s) \in G/T \times T_0 \mid x \in \mathbf{G}_a\}, \\ D &:= \{(eT, t) \in G/T \times T_0 \mid t \in T_0\}. \end{aligned}$$

The linear span of all T_{z, C_α} 's and $T_{z, D}$ is $T_{z, G/T \times T_0}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\theta_\alpha(x)T, s) &= \theta_\alpha(x)s\theta_\alpha(x)^{-1} = \theta_\alpha(x)s\theta_\alpha(-x) \\ &= \theta_\alpha(x)\theta_\alpha(-s^\alpha x)s = \theta_\alpha((1 - s^\alpha)x)s. \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

Since s is regular, $s^\alpha \neq 1$. Hence (39) shows that ψ maps the curve C_α isomorphically onto the curve

$$\psi(C_\alpha) = \{\theta_\alpha((1 - s^\alpha)x)s \mid x \in \mathbf{G}_a\}.$$

Clearly, $\psi(D) = T_0$ and $\psi|_D: D \rightarrow T_0$ is the isomorphism. But $T_{e, G}$ is the linear span of $T_{e, T}$ and the tangent spaces of the curves $\{\theta_\alpha(x) \mid x \in \mathbf{G}_a\}$ at e . Hence $T_{s, G}$ is the linear span of $T_{s, T}$ and the tangent spaces at s of the right translations of these curves by s . This implies the claim of the lemma. $\square$

Corollary 5.3. *ψ is separable.*

Corollary 5.4. *(G_0, ψ) is the quotient for the action of W on $G/T \times T_0$.*

Proof. By [Bor, Prop. II.6.6], as G_0 is normal and ψ is surjective and separable, it suffices to prove that the fibers of ψ are W -orbits.

Using (1) and (38) one immediately verifies that the fibers of ψ are W -stable. On the other hand, let $\psi(g_1T, t_1) = \psi(g_2T, t_2)$. By (38) this equality is equivalent to $(g_1^{-1}g_2)t_2(g_1^{-1}g_2)^{-1} = t_1$. By [Ste₁, 6.1] the latter, in turn, implies that there is an element $w \in W$ such that

$$\dot{w}t_2\dot{w}^{-1} = (g_1^{-1}g_2)t_2(g_1^{-1}g_2)^{-1}.$$

Hence $g_1^{-1}g_2 = \dot{w}z$ for $z \in G_{t_2}$. As $t_2 \in T$ is strongly regular, this yields that $z \in T$. Therefore,

$$(g_2T, t_2) = (g_1\dot{w}T, \dot{w}^{-1}t_1\dot{w}) = w^{-1} \cdot (g_1T, t_1).$$

Thus, (g_1T, t_1) and (g_2T, t_2) are in the same W -orbit. This completes the proof. $\square$

Let $\pi_2: G/T \times T_0 \rightarrow T_0$ be the second projection. Clearly, (T_0, π_2) is the geometric quotient for the action of G on $G/T \times T_0$. As ψ is G -equivariant, this implies that there is a morphism $\phi: T_0 \rightarrow G//G$ such that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G/T \times T_0 & \xrightarrow{\psi} & G_0 \\ \pi_2 \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_G \\ T_0 & \xrightarrow{\phi} & (G//G)_0 \end{array} \quad . \tag{40}$$

Lemma 5.5.

- (i) $\phi = \pi_G|_{T_0}$.
- (ii) For every point $t \in T_0$, the restriction of ψ to $\pi_2^{-1}(t)$ is a G -equivariant isomorphism $\pi_2^{-1}(t) \rightarrow \pi_G^{-1}(\phi(t))$.

Proof. Take a point $t \in T_0$. Commutativity of diagram (40) and formula (38) yield that $\pi_G(t) = \pi_G(\psi(eT, t)) = \phi(\pi_2(eT, t)) = \phi(t)$. This proves (i).

Commutativity of diagram (40) implies that the restriction of ψ to $\pi_2^{-1}(t)$ is a G -equivariant morphism $\pi_2^{-1}(t) \rightarrow \pi_G^{-1}(\phi(t))$. As both $\pi_2^{-1}(t)$ and $\pi_G^{-1}(\phi(t))$ are the G -orbits and the stabilizers of their points are conjugate to T , this morphism is bijective. By Lemma 5.2 it is separable. Then, as $\pi_G^{-1}(\phi(t))$ is normal, it is an isomorphism. This proves (ii). $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Assume that (i) holds. Let $\sigma: G//G \dashrightarrow G$ be a rational section of π_G , i.e., a section of π_G over a dense open subset U of $(G//G)_0$. Let S be the closure of $\sigma(U)$. Put $\rho := \pi_G|_S: S \rightarrow (G//G)_0$. Since $\pi_G \circ \sigma = \text{id}$, shrinking U if necessary, we may assume that, for every point $x \in U$, the following properties hold:

- (a) $S \cap \pi_G^{-1}(x)$ is a single point s ;
- (b) $d\rho_s$ is an isomorphism.

Since ψ is an isomorphism on the fibers of π_2 , property (a) implies that, for every point $t \in \phi^{-1}(U)$, the W -stable closed set $\psi^{-1}(S)$ intersects $\pi_2^{-1}(t)$ at a single point. From this we infer that $\psi^{-1}(S)$ has a unique irreducible component $\tilde{S}$ whose image under π_2 is dense in T_0 —the argument is the same as that in the proof of Claim 2(i) in Section 2. Due to the uniqueness, this $\tilde{S}$ is W -stable.

Let $V \subseteq \pi_2(\tilde{S}) \cap \phi^{-1}(U)$ be an open subset of T_0 . Replacing it, if necessary, by $\bigcap_{w \in W} w(V)$, we may assume that V is W -stable. Let $\tilde{\rho}: \pi_2^{-1}(V) \cap \tilde{S} \rightarrow V$ be the restriction of π_2 to $\pi_2^{-1}(V) \cap \tilde{S}$. Then $\tilde{\rho}$ is a bijective W -equivariant morphism. We claim that it is separable and hence, by ZARISKI's Main Theorem, an isomorphism (as V is normal). Indeed, take a point $\tilde{s} \in \pi_2^{-1}(V) \cap \tilde{S}$ and put $\pi_2(\tilde{s}) = t$. Then property (b), Lemma 5.2, and commutativity of diagram (40) imply that $d\tilde{\rho}_{\tilde{s}}: T_{\tilde{s}, \tilde{S}} \rightarrow T_{t, V}$ is an isomorphism; whence the claim by [Bor, AG.17.3].

Thus, $\tilde{\rho}^{-1}: V \rightarrow \pi_2^{-1}(V) \cap \tilde{S}$ is a rational W -equivariant section of π_2 . Its composition with the first projection $G/T \times T_0 \rightarrow G/T$ is then a W -equivariant rational map $T \dashrightarrow G/T$. This proves (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii).

Conversely, assume that (ii) holds. Let $\gamma: T \dashrightarrow G/T$ be a W -equivariant rational map. Then $\varsigma := (\gamma, \text{id}): T_0 \dashrightarrow G/T \times T_0$ is a W -equivariant rational section of π_2 , i.e., a section of π_2 over a dense open subset V of T_0 . We may assume that $\varsigma(V)$ and $S := \psi(\varsigma(V))$ are open in their closures, $\varsigma: V \rightarrow \varsigma(V)$ is an isomorphism, and the subsets $\phi(V)$, $\pi_G(S)$ of $G//G$ are open and coincide. As above, we may also assume that V is W -stable.

Taking into account that ς is W -equivariant, $\varsigma(V) \cap \pi_2^{-1}(t)$ is a single point for every $t \in V$, and ψ is an isomorphism on the fibers of π_2 , we conclude that property (a) holds for every $x \in \varsigma(V)$. Thus, $\rho := \pi_G|_S: S \rightarrow \phi(V)$ is a bijection.

We claim that ρ is separable, hence an isomorphism as $\phi(V)$ is normal by Lemma 5.1(i). Indeed, $d\phi_t$ is an isomorphism by Lemma 5.5(i) and Lemma 5.1(ii). Let $s = \psi(\varsigma(t)) \in S$. Since the restriction of $(d\pi_2)_{\varsigma(t)}$ to $T_{\varsigma(t), \varsigma(V)}$ is an isomorphism with $T_{t, V}$, commutativity of diagram (40) and Lemma 5.2 imply that property (b) holds; whence the claim.

Thus, the composition of $\rho^{-1}: \phi(V) \rightarrow S$ and the identical embedding $S \hookrightarrow G$ is a rational section of π_G . This proves (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) and completes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

Recall some definitions from [CTKPR, Sects. 2.2, 2.3, and 3].

Let P be a linear algebraic group acting on a variety X and let Q be its closed subgroup. X is called a (P, Q) -variety if in X there is a dense open P -stable subset U , called a *friendly subset*, such that a geometric quotient $\pi_U: U \rightarrow U/P$ exists and

π_U becomes the second projection $P/Q \times \widehat{U/P} \rightarrow \widehat{U/P}$ after a surjective étale base change $\widehat{U/P} \rightarrow U/P$. If there is a rational section of π_U , one says that X *admits a rational section*. X is called a *versal* (P, Q) -variety if U/P is irreducible and, for every its dense open subset $(U/P)_0$ and (P, Q) -variety Y , there is a friendly subset V of Y such that π_V is induced from π_U by a base change $V \rightarrow (U/H)_0$.

Now we shall give the characteristic free proofs of the following two statements proved in [CTKPR] for $\text{char} = 0$.

Lemma 5.6. *Let X be an irreducible variety endowed with a faithful action of a finite algebraic group H . Then*

- (i) X is an $(H, \{e\})$ -variety;
- (ii) X is a versal $(H, \{e\})$ -variety in each of the following cases:
 - (a) X is a free H -module;
 - (b) X is a linear algebraic torus and H acts by its automorphisms.

Proof. (i) Replacing X by its smooth locus, we may assume that X is smooth.

As H is finite, for any nonempty open affine subset U of X , the set $\bigcap_{h \in H} h(U)$ is H -stable, affine, and open in X . So, replacing X by it, we may assume that X is affine. Then, as is well known, for the action of H on X there is a geometric quotient $\pi: X \rightarrow X/H$ (see, e.g., [Bor, Prop. 6.15]). As X is normal, X/H is normal as well.

Since H is finite and the action is faithful, the points with trivial stabilizer form an open subset of X . Replacing X by it, we may also assume that the action is set-theoretically free, i.e., the H -stabilizer of every point of X is trivial. As X and X/G are normal, by [G₃, Exp. I, Théorème 9.5(ii)] and [Bou₁, V.2.3, Cor. 4] this property implies that π is étale and hence X/H is smooth.

For every base change $\beta: Y \rightarrow X/H$ of π , the group H acts on $X \times_{X/H} Y$ via X . As the action of H on X is set-theoretically free, taking $Y = X$ and $\beta = \pi$, we obtain

$$X \times_{X/H} X = \bigsqcup_{h \in H} h(D) \quad \text{where} \quad D := \{(x, x) \mid x \in X\}.$$

From this we deduce that in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H \times X & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & X \times_{X/H} X \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & X & \end{array},$$

where $\alpha(h, x) := (h(x), x)$ and two other maps are the second projections, α is an H -equivariant isomorphism. This proves (i).

The proofs of (ii)(a) and (ii)(b) are the same as that of (b) and (d) in [CTKPR, Lemma 3.3] if one replaces in them the references to [CTKPR, Theorem 2.12] (whose proof is based on the assumption $\text{char } k = 0$) by the references to statement (i) of the present lemma. $\square$

Remark 5.7. The proof of (i) shows that, for finite group actions, set-theoretical freeness coincides with that in the sense of GIT, [MF, Def. 0.8].

Lemma 5.8. *G is a versal (G, T) -variety.*

Proof. First, we shall give a characteristic free proof of the fact that G is a (G, T) -variety (the proof given in [CTKPR] is based on the assumption $\text{char } k = 0$). By Lemma 5.1(iii) this is equivalent to proving the existence of a dense open subset U of $(G//G)_0$ such that after a surjective étale base change $U' \rightarrow U$ morphism (37) becomes the second projection $G/T \times U' \rightarrow U'$.

Consider the base change of π_G in (40) by means of ϕ . Lemma 5.5(i) implies that

$$F := G_0 \times_{(G//G)_0} T_0 = \{(g, t) \in G_0 \times T_0 \mid G(g) = G(t)\} \quad (41)$$

(see (5)). We have the canonical map corresponding to commutative diagram (40):

$$\gamma := \psi \times \text{id}: G/T \times T_0 \longrightarrow F, \quad (gT, t) \mapsto (gtg^{-1}, t). \quad (42)$$

It follows from (41) that γ is surjective; whence F is irreducible. But if for $t \in T_0$ and $g_1, g_2 \in G$ we have $g_1tg_1^{-1} = g_2tg_2^{-1}$, then $g_1T = g_2T$ since $G_t = T$. Therefore, γ is bijective. Lemma 5.2 and (42) show that $d\gamma_x$ is injective for every $x \in G/T \times T_0$. Hence if $\gamma(x)$ lies in the smooth locus F_{sm} of F , then $d\gamma_x$ is the isomorphism. This implies that γ is separable and then, by ZARISKI's Main Theorem, that the restriction of γ to $\gamma^{-1}(F_{\text{sm}})$ is an isomorphism $\gamma^{-1}(F_{\text{sm}}) \rightarrow F_{\text{sm}}$.

As F_{sm} is G -stable and γ is G -equivariant, $\gamma^{-1}(F_{\text{sm}})$ is a G -stable open subset of $G/T \times T_0$. Hence it is of the form $G/T \times U'$ for an open subset U' of T_0 . But Lemmas 5(ii) and 5.5(i) imply that $U := \phi(U')$ is open in $(G//G)_0$ and $\phi|_{U'}: U' \rightarrow U$ is étale. This proves that after the étale base change $\phi|_{U'}: U' \rightarrow U$ morphism (37) becomes the second projection $G/T \times U' \rightarrow U'$. Hence G is a (G, T) -variety.

By Lemma 5.6(b), T is a versal $(W, \{e\})$ -variety. The characteristic free arguments from [CTKPR, proof of Prop. 4.3(c)] then show that this fact implies versality of the (G, T) -variety G . This completes the proof of the lemma. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.6. By [BT, Prop. (2.24)(ii)] the isogeny τ is central. Hence, the natural morphism $\widehat{G}/\widehat{T} \rightarrow G/T$ is an isomorphism by [Bor, Props. 6.13, 22.5].

Using τ , every action of G lifts naturally to an action of $\widehat{G}$ on the same variety. In particular, G is endowed with an action of $\widehat{G}$. But G is a (G, T) -variety by Lemma 5.8(i). As $\widehat{G}/\widehat{T}$ and G/T are isomorphic, this means that G is a $(\widehat{G}, \widehat{T})$ -variety. But $\widehat{G}$ is a versal $(\widehat{G}, \widehat{T})$ -variety (by Lemma 5.8) that admits a rational section (by Lemma 5.1(iii) and [Ste₁, Theorem 1.4]). Hence by [CTKPR, Theorem 3.6(a)] (the proof of this result is characteristic free) every $(\widehat{G}, \widehat{T})$ -variety admits a rational section. In particular, this is so for G . This proves (ii) and completes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

Proof of Corollary 1.7. By Theorem 1.6 there is a rational section $\sigma: G//G \dashrightarrow G$ of π_G . The closure of the image of σ is then the desired cross-section S (see Section 6). $\square$

6. COMPLEMENTS

1. Cross-sections versus sections. If there is a section $\sigma: G//G \rightarrow G$ of π_G , then $\sigma(G//G)$ is a cross-section in G . Indeed, as $\text{id}_{k[G//G]}$ is the composition of the homomorphisms

$$k[G//G] \xrightarrow{\pi_G^*} k[G] \xrightarrow{\sigma^*} k[G//G],$$

π_G^* is surjective; by [G₂, Cor. 4.2.3] this means that σ is a closed embedding.

The cross-section $\sigma(G//G)$ has the property that the restriction of π_G to $\sigma(G//G)$ is an isomorphism $\sigma(G//G) \rightarrow G//G$. Conversely, let S be a cross-section in G . If $\pi_G|_S: S \rightarrow G//G$ is separable, then, since $\pi_G|_S$ is bijective and $G//G$ is normal, ZARISKI's Main Theorem implies that $\pi_G|_S$ is an isomorphism (cf. [Bor, AG 18.2]). So in this case the composition of $(\pi_G|_S)^{-1}$ with the identity embedding $S \hookrightarrow G$ is a section of π_G whose image is S . In particular, if $\text{char } k = 0$, then every cross-section in G is the image of a section of π_G . If $\text{char } k > 0$, then in the general case this is not true.

Example 6.1. Let $G = \mathbf{SL}_3$ and $\text{char } k = p > 0$. Then for every integer $d > 0$,

$$S := \{s(a_1, a_2) \mid a_1, a_2 \in k\}, \quad \text{where } s(a_1, a_2) := \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & 1 \\ 1 & a_1^{p^d} - a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

is a cross-section in G such that $\pi_G|_S$ is not separable. Indeed, as $\chi_{\varpi_i}(g)$ is the sum of principal i -minors of $g \in G$, we have (see Lemma 2.1(ii))

$$(\lambda \circ \rho)(s(a_1, a_2)) = (a_1^{p^d}, a_1(a_1^{p^d} - a_1) - a_2). \quad \square$$

Similarly, if $\sigma: G//G \dashrightarrow G$ is a rational section of π_G and S is the closure of its image, then S is a rational cross-section in G such that the restriction of π_G to it is a birational isomorphism with $G//G$.

6.1. 2. Group action on the set of cross-sections. Let $\text{Mor}(G//G, G)$ be the group of morphisms $G//G \rightarrow G$. If S is a cross-section in G and $\gamma \in \text{Mor}(G//G, G)$, then

$$\gamma(S) := \{\gamma(s)s\gamma(s)^{-1} \mid s \in S\}$$

is a cross-section in G . This defines an action of $\text{Mor}(G//G, G)$ on the set of cross-sections in G . If $\text{char } k = 0$, then by [FM] this action is transitive. If $\text{char } k > 0$, then in the general case this is not true: in Example 6.1, STEINBERG's section and S are not in the same $\text{Mor}(G//G, G)$ -orbit since, for the former, the restriction of π_G is separable [Ste₁, Theorem 1.5], but, for the latter, it is not.

3. Lifting T -action. By Theorem 3.5 there is an action of T on T/W determining a structure of a toric variety. This action cannot be lifted to T making $\pi_T: T \rightarrow T/W$ equivariant. This follows from the fact that the automorphism group of the underlying variety of T is $\mathbf{GL}_r(\mathbf{Z}) \ltimes T$.

4. Image of a rational cross-section in G under π_G . Assume that τ is not bijective (for $\text{char } k = 0$, this means that G is not simply connected). Let S be a rational cross-section in G such that $\varphi := \pi_G|_S: S \rightarrow G//G$ is a birational isomorphism (S exists by Corollary 1.7). Let D be the closure of the complement of $\pi_G(S)$ in $G//G$.

The following shows that D cannot be “too small”.

Theorem 6.2. $\text{codim}_{G//G} D = 1$.

Proof. Assume the contrary. Take a function $f \in k[S]$. Since φ is a birational isomorphism, $f = \varphi^*(h)$ for some function $h \in k(G//G)$. As $G//G$ is normal, h is regular at every point of $(G//G) \setminus D$, see [P₁, Sect. 2, Lemma]. Using again that $G//G$ is normal, we then deduce from $\text{codim}_{G//G} D > 1$ that $h \in k[G//G]$. As G and $G//G$ are affine, this shows that φ is an isomorphism. Hence S is a (global) cross-section in G . As τ is not bijective, the latter contradicts Theorem 1.2(i). $\square$

5. Questions. Given Theorem 1.8, it would be interesting to construct explicitly an example of a W -equivariant rational map $T \dashrightarrow G/T$.

- Is there such a map defined on T_0 ?
- Is there a rational section of π_G defined on $(G//G)_0$?

REFERENCES

- [A] J. F. ADAMS, *Lectures on Lie Groups*, Benjamin, New York, 1969.
- [Bor] A. BOREL, *Linear Algebraic Groups*, 2nd enlarged ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 126, Springer-Verlag, 1991.
- [BT] A. BOREL, J. TITS, *Compléments à l'article “Groupes réductifs”*, Publ. math. IHES **41** (1972), 253–276.
- [Bou₁] N. BOURBAKI, *Algèbre Commutative*, Chap. V, VI, Hermann, Paris, 1964.

- [Bou₂] N. BOURBAKI, *Groupes et Algèbres de Lie*, Chap. IV, V, VI, Hermann, Paris, 1968.
- [CTKPR] J.-L. COLLIOT-THÉLÈNE, B. KUNYAVSKIĬ, V. L. POPOV, Z. REICHSTEIN, *Is the function field of a reductive Lie algebra purely transcendental over the field of invariants for the adjoint action?*, available at [arXiv:0901.4358](https://arxiv.org/abs/0901.4358) (2009) and LAGRS preprint no. 320 (2009).
- [FM] R. FRIEDMAN, J. W. MORGAN, *Automorphism sheaves, spectral covers, and the Kostant and Steinberg sections*, in: *Vector Bundles and Representation Theory* (Columbia, MO, 2002), Contemp. Math., Vol. 322, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003, pp. 217–244.
- [G₁] A. GROTHENDIECK, *Compléments de géométrie algébrique. Espaces de transformations*, in: *Séminaire C. Chevalley, 1956–1958. Classification de groupes de Lie algébriques*, Vol. 1, Exposé no. 5, Secr. math. ENS, Paris, 1958.
- [G₂] A. GROTHENDIECK, *EGA I*, Publ. Math. IHES **4** (1960), 5–228.
- [G₃] A. GROTHENDIECK ET AL., *Revêtements Étales et Groupe Fondamental*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 224, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991.
- [GS] *Grothendieck–Serre Correspondence*, Bilingual Edition, P. COLMEZ, J.-P. SERRE, eds., American Mathematical Society, Société Mathématique de France, 2004.
- [H] J. HARRIS, *Algebraic Geometry. A First Course*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 133, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [Hum₁] J. E. HUMPHREYS, *Linear Algebraic Groups*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1975.
- [Hum₂] J. E. HUMPHREYS, *Conjugacy Classes in Semisimple Algebraic Groups*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, Vol. 43, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1995.
- [Hus] D. HUSEMOLLER, *Fibre Bundles*, McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, 1966.
- [K] B. KOSTANT, *Lie group representations on polynomial rings*, Amer. J. Math. **85** (1963), 327–404.
- [L₁] M. LORENZ, *Multiplicative invariants and semigroup algebras*, Algebras and Representation Theory **4** (2001), 293–304.
- [L₂] M. LORENZ, *Multiplicative Invariant Theory*, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 135, Subseries *Invariant Theory and Algebraic Transformation Groups*, Vol. VI, Springer, Berlin, 2005.
- [MF] D. MUMFORD, J. FOGARTY, *Geometric Invariant Theory*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 34, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1982.
- [O] T. ODA, *Convex Bodies and Algebraic Geometry*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete 3. Folge, Band 15, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988.
- [P₁] V. L. POPOV, *On the “Lemma of Seshadri”*, in: *Lie Groups, their Discrete Subgroups, and Invariant Theory*, Advances in Soviet Mathematics, Vol. 8, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1992, 167–172.
- [P₂] V. L. POPOV, *Letter to A. Premet*, July 5, 2009.
- [R₁] R. W. RICHARDSON, *The conjugating representation of a semisimple group*, Invent. Math. **54** (1979), 229–245.
- [R₂] R. W. RICHARDSON, *Orbits, invariants, and representations associated to involutions of reductive groups*, Invent. Math. **66** (1982), 287–312.
- [Se] J.-P. SERRE, *Groupes de Grothendieck des schémas en groupes réductifs déployés*, Publ. Math. IHES **34** (1968), 37–52.
- [Sl] P. SLODOWY, *Simple Singularities and Simple Algebraic Groups*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 815, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1980.
- [Sp] T. A. SPRINGER, *Linear Algebraic Groups*, 2nd ed., Birkhäuser, Boston, 1998.
- [Ste₁] R. STEINBERG, *Regular elements of semi-simple algebraic groups*, Publ. Math. IHES **25** (1965), 49–80.
- [Ste₂] R. STEINBERG, *Lectures on Chevalley Groups*, Yale University, Yale University, New Haven, Conn., 1968.
- [Ste₃] R. STEINBERG, *On a theorem of Pittie*, Topology **14** (1975), 173–177.
- [Stu] B. STURMFELS, *Gröbner Bases and Convex Polytopes*, University Lecture Series, Vol. 8, American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 1996.

STEKLOV MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, RUSSIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, GUBKINA 8, MOSCOW, 119991, RUSSIA

E-mail address: popovvl@orc.ru